

## NATIONAL MARROW DONOR PROGRAM®

### Advances in Conditioning Regimens

---

New, less intense conditioning regimens are being used today that retain the desirable effects of standard high-dose conditioning regimens, but with significantly lower transplant-related mortality (TRM).

Non-myeloablative and reduced-intensity conditioning regimens have also expanded the number of patients eligible to receive hematopoietic cell transplants.

#### Non-Myeloablative Regimens

Non-myeloablative regimens use significantly lower doses of pre-transplant chemotherapy drugs and/or radiation than the traditional high-dose, myeloablative regimens that have been in use for more than 35 years. Non-myeloablative regimens do not attempt to completely eliminate malignant cells prior to transplant, but instead rely upon a graft-versus-malignancy effect mediated by donor-origin T cells. [1]

Non-myeloablative transplants have expanded the number of patients eligible for hematopoietic cell transplantation. Due to its lowered toxicity, non-myeloablative transplants can be appropriate for:

- Patients older than 55 years, which is a common upper limit for standard myeloablative transplantation
- Patients with one or more co-morbidities that would ordinarily exclude them from undergoing myeloablative transplantation

Although long-term follow-up data are not yet available, lower TRM and reduced rates of acute and chronic GVHD have been achieved in older patients and in patients with co-morbidities receiving non-myeloablative transplants, with rates comparable to those achieved by younger transplant patients. [2]

Clinical studies of non-myeloablative transplantation have shown that the graft-versus-malignancy effect is particularly pronounced in:

- Chronic myelogenous leukemia
- Chronic lymphocytic leukemia
- Low-grade, non-aggressive lymphomas [1]

Autologous transplant followed by non-myeloablative allogeneic transplantation is also being investigated by several groups. This treatment strategy attempts to combine the tumor cytoreduction of a high-dose autologous transplant with the lowered TRM of a non-myeloablative conditioning regimen with an allogeneic transplant. This technique has been particularly successful in treating patients with multiple myeloma. [3]

#### Reduced-Intensity Regimens

These regimens use combinations of chemotherapy drugs such as fludarabine, busulfan, ATG, and melphalan. They are not fully myeloablative, but they use higher doses than non-myeloablative regimens.

Initial reports have shown that reduced-intensity regimens have acceptable remission rates and lower overall rates of toxicity compared to standard high-dose therapy. [1,4] Rates of acute and chronic GVHD after reduced-intensity regimens are comparable to those observed in standard high-dose transplants, but the onset of GVHD is often delayed by weeks to months. [5]

#### Myeloablative Regimens

Despite the many successes in reducing the intensity of conditioning regimens, fully myeloablative regimens are still used for the majority of patients undergoing hematopoietic cell transplantation.

High-dose regimens are particularly useful in conditioning patients with aggressive malignancies, where there is a need for a strong anti-leukemia or anti-tumor effect.

Cyclophosphamide plus total body irradiation (TBI) and cyclophosphamide plus busulfan are typical approaches for fully myeloablative regimens, but combining busulfan with fludarabine is increasing in use. [6, 7]

## References

1. Antin JH. Stem cell transplantation -- harnessing of graft-versus-malignancy. *Curr Opin Hematol*. 2003; 10(6):440-444.  
[http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=pubmed&dopt=Abstract&list\\_uids=14564175](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=pubmed&dopt=Abstract&list_uids=14564175)
2. Couriel DR, Saliba RM, Giralt S, et al. Acute and chronic graft-versus-host disease after ablative and nonmyeloablative conditioning for allogeneic hematopoietic transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2004; 10(3):178-185.  
<http://www.bbmt.org/scripts/om.dll/serve?action=searchDB&searchDBfor=art&artType=abs&id=as1083879103004191&nav=abs>
3. Maloney DG, Molina AJ, Sahebi F, et al. Allografting with nonmyeloablative conditioning following cytoreductive autografts for the treatment of patients with multiple myeloma. *Blood*. 2003; 102(9):3447-3454.  
<http://www.bloodjournal.org/cgi/content/abstract/102/9/3447>
4. Alyea EP, Kim HT, Ho VT, et al. Comparative outcome of non-myeloablative and myeloablative allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation for patients greater than fifty years of age. *Blood*. E-print ahead of publication. Sept. 30, 2004.  
<http://www.bloodjournal.org/cgi/content/abstract/2004-05-1947v1>
5. Niederwieser D, Maris M, Shizuru JA, et al. Low-dose total body irradiation (TBI) and fludarabine followed by hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) from HLA-matched or mismatched unrelated donors and postgrafting immunosuppression with cyclosporine and mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) can induce durable complete chimerism and sustained remissions in patients with hematological diseases. *Blood*. 2003; 101(4):1620-1629.  
<http://www.bloodjournal.org/cgi/content/full/101/4/1620>
6. Kroger N, Zabelina T, Kruger W, et al. Comparison of total body irradiation vs busulfan in combination with cyclophosphamide as conditioning for unrelated stem cell transplantation in CML patients. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2001; 27(4):349-354.  
<http://www.nature.com/cgi-taf/DynaPage.taf?file=/bmt/journal/v27/n4/abs/1702802a.html>
7. De Lima M, Couriel D, Thall PF, et al. Once-daily intravenous busulfan and fludarabine: clinical and pharmacokinetic results of a myeloablative, reduced-toxicity conditioning regimen for allogeneic stem cell transplantation in AML and MDS. *Blood*. 2004; 104(3):857-864.  
<http://www.bloodjournal.org/cgi/content/abstract/104/3/857>

**Last Updated: January 2005**